



AD

CCL REPORT NO. 230

FINAL REPORT

A STUDY OF THE OPERATING LIMITS  
OF THE STANNATE IMMERSION BATH

BY

WILLIAM H. DEAVER

MAY 1967

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

U. S. ARMY COATING & CHEMICAL LABORATORY

Aberdeen Proving Ground  
Maryland

ARCHIVE COPY

AD 653572

## DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from Defense Documentation Center, Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314

THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL  
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION, UNLESS SO DESIGNATED BY OTHER  
AUTHORIZED DOCUMENTS.

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT  
TO THE ORIGINATOR.

ACCESSION FOR

CFSTI ☒ WHITE SECTION

DOC ☐ BLUE SECTION

☐

AVAILABILITY CODES

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100. 101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106. 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. 112. 113. 114. 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120. 121. 122. 123. 124. 125. 126. 127. 128. 129. 130. 131. 132. 133. 134. 135. 136. 137. 138. 139. 140. 141. 142. 143. 144. 145. 146. 147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161. 162. 163. 164. 165. 166. 167. 168. 169. 170. 171. 172. 173. 174. 175. 176. 177. 178. 179. 180. 181. 182. 183. 184. 185. 186. 187. 188. 189. 190. 191. 192. 193. 194. 195. 196. 197. 198. 199. 200. 201. 202. 203. 204. 205. 206. 207. 208. 209. 210. 211. 212. 213. 214. 215. 216. 217. 218. 219. 220. 221. 222. 223. 224. 225. 226. 227. 228. 229. 230. 231. 232. 233. 234. 235. 236. 237. 238. 239. 240. 241. 242. 243. 244. 245. 246. 247. 248. 249. 250. 251. 252. 253. 254. 255. 256. 257. 258. 259. 260. 261. 262. 263. 264. 265. 266. 267. 268. 269. 270. 271. 272. 273. 274. 275. 276. 277. 278. 279. 280. 281. 282. 283. 284. 285. 286. 287. 288. 289. 290. 291. 292. 293. 294. 295. 296. 297. 298. 299. 300. 301. 302. 303. 304. 305. 306. 307. 308. 309. 310. 311. 312. 313. 314. 315. 316. 317. 318. 319. 320. 321. 322. 323. 324. 325. 326. 327. 328. 329. 330. 331. 332. 333. 334. 335. 336. 337. 338. 339. 340. 341. 342. 343. 344. 345. 346. 347. 348. 349. 350. 351. 352. 353. 354. 355. 356. 357. 358. 359. 360. 361. 362. 363. 364. 365. 366. 367. 368. 369. 370. 371. 372. 373. 374. 375. 376. 377. 378. 379. 380. 381. 382. 383. 384. 385. 386. 387. 388. 389. 390. 391. 392. 393. 394. 395. 396. 397. 398. 399. 400. 401. 402. 403. 404. 405. 406. 407. 408. 409. 410. 411. 412. 413. 414. 415. 416. 417. 418. 419. 420. 421. 422. 423. 424. 425. 426. 427. 428. 429. 430. 431. 432. 433. 434. 435. 436. 437. 438. 439. 440. 441. 442. 443. 444. 445. 446. 447. 448. 449. 450. 451. 452. 453. 454. 455. 456. 457. 458. 459. 460. 461. 462. 463. 464. 465. 466. 467. 468. 469. 470. 471. 472. 473. 474. 475. 476. 477. 478. 479. 480. 481. 482. 483. 484. 485. 486. 487. 488. 489. 490. 491. 492. 493. 494. 495. 496. 497. 498. 499. 500. 501. 502. 503. 504. 505. 506. 507. 508. 509. 510. 511. 512. 513. 514. 515. 516. 517. 518. 519. 520. 521. 522. 523. 524. 525. 526. 527. 528. 529. 530. 531. 532. 533. 534. 535. 536. 537. 538. 539. 540. 541. 542. 543. 544. 545. 546. 547. 548. 549. 550. 551. 552. 553. 554. 555. 556. 557. 558. 559. 560. 561. 562. 563. 564. 565. 566. 567. 568. 569. 570. 571. 572. 573. 574. 575. 576. 577. 578. 579. 580. 581. 582. 583. 584. 585. 586. 587. 588. 589. 590. 591. 592. 593. 594. 595. 596. 597. 598. 599. 600. 601. 602. 603. 604. 605. 606. 607. 608. 609. 610. 611. 612. 613. 614. 615. 616. 617. 618. 619. 620. 621. 622. 623. 624. 625. 626. 627. 628. 629. 630. 631. 632. 633. 634. 635. 636. 637. 638. 639. 640. 641. 642. 643. 644. 645. 646. 647. 648. 649. 650. 651. 652. 653. 654. 655. 656. 657. 658. 659. 660. 661. 662. 663. 664. 665. 666. 667. 668. 669. 670. 671. 672. 673. 674. 675. 676. 677. 678. 679. 680. 681. 682. 683. 684. 685. 686. 687. 688. 689. 690. 691. 692. 693. 694. 695. 696. 697. 698. 699. 700. 701. 702. 703. 704. 705. 706. 707. 708. 709. 710. 711. 712. 713. 714. 715. 716. 717. 718. 719. 720. 721. 722. 723. 724. 725. 726. 727. 728. 729. 730. 731. 732. 733. 734. 735. 736. 737. 738. 739. 740. 741. 742. 743. 744. 745. 746. 747. 748. 749. 750. 751. 752. 753. 754. 755. 756. 757. 758. 759. 760. 761. 762. 763. 764. 765. 766. 767. 768. 769. 770. 771. 772. 773. 774. 775. 776. 777. 778. 779. 780. 781. 782. 783. 784. 785. 786. 787. 788. 789. 790. 791. 792. 793. 794. 795. 796. 797. 798. 799. 800. 801. 802. 803. 804. 805. 806. 807. 808. 809. 810. 811. 812. 813. 814. 815. 816. 817. 818. 819. 820. 821. 822. 823. 824. 825. 826. 827. 828. 82

UNCLASSIFIED

CCL REPORT NO. 230

A STUDY OF THE OPERATING LIMITS  
OF THE STANNATE IMMERSION BATH

BY

WILLIAM H. DEAVER

MAY 1967

AMCMS CODE NO. 5025.11.29500

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PROJECT NO.  
1C024401A329

U. S. ARMY COATING AND CHEMICAL LABORATORY  
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND  
MARYLAND

UNCLASSIFIED

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                         | <u>Page No.</u> |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| TITLE PAGE .....        | i               |
| ABSTRACT .....          | ii              |
| INTRODUCTION .....      | 1               |
| DETAILS OF TEST .....   | 1 - 2           |
| CONCLUSIONS .....       | 3               |
| REFERENCES .....        | 3               |
| DISTRIBUTION LIST ..... | 4 - 6           |
| APPENDIX A .....        | 7               |
| Tables I - II .....     | 7 - 8           |
| APPENDIX B .....        | 9               |
| Photograph No. 1 .....  | 9               |
| DD FORM 1473 .....      | 10              |

## I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years the increased emphasis on the development of lightweight airborne equipment and missiles has caused increased use of the non-ferrous, light weight metals. Of these light weight metals magnesium has many physical properties such as ductility and a high strength to weight ratio that make it very desirable for use by the Army. Previous work (CCL Report No. 134) has shown that magnesium, with the proper pretreatment and finishing system, can be protected. However, due to design requirements it is sometimes necessary to have magnesium in contact with a dissimilar metal thereby setting up a condition for bimetallic or galvanic corrosion.

Work reported in CCL Report No. 150 showed that galvanic corrosion can be prevented by complete insulation of the dissimilar metals. It also showed that the best protection available for items containing magnesium and steel that must be treated after assembly is the stannate process developed by the Dow Chemical Company under Army contract. This is an immersion treatment which is said to deposit a tin coating on steel and a tin-magnesium salt on magnesium.

However, there has been a hesitancy to recommend the stannate process for actual production because very limited information was available on life of the bath, a fast effective means for replenishment of bath and maintenance of coating quality. Although an analytical method for determining bath composition was developed the procedure was much too time consuming for production control and would also require a trained analyst.

A study was therefore initiated to obtain data on the operating limits of the bath to determine if it would be possible to establish some means other than chemical analysis that could be utilized as a fast effective method for control of the process.

## II. DETAILS OF TEST

To determine bath life test specimens consisting of 3 by 6 by 1/4 inch magnesium panels of alloy AZ 31 with two 1/4 inch diameter flat-head steel bolts countersunk in the center of the panel 1-1/2 inches apart were stannate treated according to table I. After every 10 square feet per gallon treated, a set of four test specimens were painted to a 1 mil dry film thickness with a control formulation of MIL-P-52192, "Primer Coating, Epoxy". They were air dried 7 days, scored across one of the coupled areas and exposed to 20% salt spray in accordance with method 6061 of Federal Test Method Standard 141, along with a set treated in a freshly prepared bath.

Ninety-six hours exposure was set as the standard acceptable exposure period based on previous work that showed this was the maximum period of salt spray exposure that could be expected from stannate coatings deposited from a fresh bath without excessive amounts of galvanic corrosion. Thus a bath was considered to be depleted when the test specimens from the operating or used bath showed a faster rate of corrosion when tested simultaneously with specimens from the freshly prepared bath. Corrosion on the former generally started 24 to 48 hours sooner than those from the latter. Examples of both types are shown in photo #1.

Four separate baths were run to depletion and the amount of work treated per gallon, until substandard panels were produced, varied from 125 to 150 square feet. When the salt spray data indicated that a good coating was no longer being produced chemical analysis of the bath was made. A typical analysis is given in Table III. The potassium stannate was depleted at a much faster rate than the tetrasodium pyrophosphate. Sodium acetate and sodium hydroxide remained constant as did the pH.

A depleted bath was brought back to original strength by addition of potassium stannate and tetrasodium pyrophosphate and test panels again treated, painted and subjected to salt spray. The revived bath initially produced very satisfactory treatments. However, only 50 square feet of acceptable work was produced per gallon of bath. On close examination of bath analysis it was seen that the only constituent in the depleted bath that was not in the new bath was carbonate. It is well known that electrolytic tin plating baths of the potassium stannate type absorb carbon dioxide thereby causing poor coating formation<sup>2</sup>. In order to see if the stannate immersion bath was affected in a similar manner 0.5% of sodium carbonate was added to a freshly prepared bath. Test panels were treated, painted with MIL-P-52192 and exposed to salt spray. Exposure results were very poor compared to control panels prepared in a non-carbonate stannate bath.

Since depletion rate was determined using specimens whose surface area contained 94% magnesium and 6% steel, additional studies were conducted to determine if a larger percentage of steel would deplete the bath faster. Panels were prepared with the amount of steel varying from 6% to 50% of the couple. Those containing 50% steel failed to have a coating produced on the steel. Salt spray tests (table II) showed that a magnesium-steel couple containing more than 22% steel would not receive a satisfactory stannate coating. A fresh stannate bath was run to depletion using test specimens consisting of 22% steel. It was found that 125 sq. feet could be treated per gallon before substandard coatings were produced. This indicates that the amount of steel (up to 22%) present in the couple does not affect the life or depletion rate of the stannate bath.

### III. CONCLUSIONS

This study has indicated that 100 square feet of work, containing no more than 22% steel, can be safely processed per gallon of bath. Pending the development of a fast effective means for determining quality of a stannate coating, the process could be used for production with this type of control. Although it is possible to replenish the bath, it is not considered economically desirable since the life of the replenished bath is less than half that of a freshly prepared one.

### IV. REFERENCES

1. Magnesium Finishing. The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan, 1963 pp. 75 - 81.
2. Blum, William and Hogaboom, George B., Principles Of Electroplating and Electroforming, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1949. p. 328.

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR AMCMS CODE NO. 5025.11.29500

| <u>Department of Defense</u>   | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|--|----------------------|
| Defense Documentation Center<br>Cameron Station<br>Alexandria, Virginia 22314  | 20                   |
| <u>Department of the Army</u>  |                      |
| Commanding General<br>U.S. Army Materiel Command<br>ATTN: AMCRD-RS-CM<br>Washington, D. C. 20315   | 1                    |
| Continental Army Command<br>Department of the Army<br>Fort Monroe, Virginia 23351  | 3                    |
| Commanding General<br>U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Command<br>ATTN: Mr. J. Dudzinski<br>Warren, Michigan 48090                              | 1                    |
| Commanding Officer<br>Frankford Arsenal<br>ATTN: L7000-64-4<br>Library<br>Philadelphia, Pa. 19137  | 1<br>1               |
| Commanding Officer<br>Yuma Proving Ground,<br>Arizona 85364  | 1                    |
| Commanding General<br>U.S. Army Weapons Command<br>ATTN: AMSWE-RDR<br>Rock Island, Illinois 61200  | 2                    |
| Commanding Officer<br>U.S. Army Materials Research Agency<br>ATTN: Technical Information Center<br>Watertown, Massachusetts 02172        | 2                    |
| Commanding Officer<br>U.S. Army Chemical Research<br>and Development Laboratories<br>ATTN: Librarian<br>Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21040 | 1                    |



# DISTRIBUTION LIST CONTINUED

|   | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|---|----------------------|
| U.S. Army Engineer Research<br>and Development Laboratories<br>ATTN: STINFO Branch<br>Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060  | 2                    |
| Commanding Officer<br>Rock Island Arsenal<br>ATTN: Laboratory 9320<br>Rock Island, Illinois 61200   | 1                    |
| Commanding Officer<br>Springfield Armory<br>ATTN: Engineering Division<br>Springfield, Massachusetts 01101  | 1                    |
| Commanding Officer<br>Watervliet Arsenal<br>ATTN: Dr. R. Weigle<br>Watervliet, New York 12189   | 1                    |
| Commanding Officer<br>Picatinny Arsenal<br>ATTN: Mr. J. J. Begley<br>Dover, New Jersey 07801  | 1                    |
| Commanding Officer<br>U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories<br>ATTN: Mr. R. Eichelberger<br>Mr. J. Sperrazza<br>Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005 | 1<br>1               |
| Technical Library<br>Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005  | 1                    |
| <u>Department of the Navy</u>   |                      |
| Department of the Navy<br>c/o Navy Liaison<br>Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005   | 1                    |
| Commanding Officer and Director (Code L31)<br>U.S. Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory<br>Fort Huachuca, California 93041                                    | 1                    |

# DISTRIBUTION LIST CONTINUED

|   | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|---|----------------------|
| Commander<br>Naval Air Engineering Center<br>ATTN: M44<br>Aeronautical Materials Laboratory<br>Philadelphia, Pa. 19112            | 1                    |
| Commander<br>U.S. Naval Weapons Laboratory<br>Dahlgren, Virginia 22428  | 1                    |
| Department of the Navy<br>Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons<br>Washington, D. C. 20360   | 1                    |
| <u>Department of the Air Force</u>  |                      |
| Commander<br>Air Force Materials Laboratory<br>ATTN: MAAM<br>Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433                          | 1                    |
| Air Force Systems Command<br>ATTN: STLO<br>Bldg 314<br>Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005                                    | 1                    |
| <u>Other Government Agencies</u>  |                      |
| Scientific and Technical Information Facility<br>ATTN: NASA Representative (S-AK/DL)<br>P.O. Box 5700<br>Bethesda, Maryland 20014 | 3                    |
| <u>Foreign Address</u>  |                      |
| Commander<br>British Army Staff<br>3100 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.<br>Washington, D. C. 20008                                     | 2                    |
| Canadian Army Staff<br>Canadian Liaison Office<br>Headquarters, U.S. Army Materiel Command<br>Washington, D. C. 20315             | 2                    |

## APPENDIX A

TABLE I

---

### PROCEDURE FOR PREPARING STANDARD STANNATE IMMERSION PANELS

---

STEP 1 - Alkaline clean at 190°-212°F for 10 minutes in the following bath.

Sodium hydroxide - 120 gms  
Trisodium phosphate - 20 gms  
Nacconal - 2 gms  
Water (to make) - 2000 ml

STEP 2 - Water rinse at room temperature.

STEP 3 - Immerse in 5% nitric acid at room temperature for 1-1/2 minutes.

STEP 4 - Water rinse at room temperature.

STEP 5 - Immerse in the following stannate bath for 20 minutes at 180°-190°F.

Potassium stannate - 100 gms  
Tetrasodium pyrophosphate - 100 gms  
Sodium hydroxide - 20 gms  
Sodium acetate - 20 gms  
Water (to make) - 2000 ml

STEP 6 - Water rinse at room temperature.

STEP 7 - Immerse in a 5% sodium acid fluoride bath at room temperature for 2 minutes.

STEP 8 - Water rinse at room temperature and dry in a 150°F oven till dry.

---

TABLE II

PRODUCTION OF COATINGS ON MAGNESIUM-STEEL COUPLES  
WITH VARYING AMOUNTS OF STEEL

| Panel | % Magnesium | Surface Area<br>% Steel | Mg:Steel<br>Ratio | 96 hours salt spray exposure           |
|-------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 1     | 94          | 6                       | 15.7:1            | (Standard) Trace of galvanic corrosion |
| 2     | 88          | 12                      | 7.3:1             | Equal to standard                      |
| 3     | 78          | 22                      | 3.5:1             | Equal to standard                      |
| 4     | 69          | 31                      | 2.2:1             | More galvanic corrosion than standard. |
| 5     | 62          | 38                      | 1.6:1             | More galvanic corrosion than standard. |
| 6     | 56          | 44                      | 1.3:1             | More galvanic corrosion than standard. |
| 7     | 50          | 50                      | 1:1               | More galvanic corrosion than standard. |

TABLE III

Typical Bath Composition After Depletion Of Bath By  
More Than 100 sq. ft. Per Gallon Of Work Treated In Bath

|              | <u>Before</u> | <u>After</u> |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| $K_2SnO_3$   | 5%            | 0.7%         |
| $Na_4P_2O_7$ | 5%            | 3.5%         |
| $NaC_2H_3O$  | 1%            | 1.0%         |
| NaOH         | 1%            | 1.0%         |
| pH           | 13.0          | 13.0         |
| $Na_2CO_3$   | None          | Present      |

APPENDIX B

96 HOUR SALT SPRAY EXPOSURE



Unclassified

Security Classification

| DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| (Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)   |   |  |
| 1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)<br>U. S. Army Coating and Chemical Laboratory<br>Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland   |   | 2a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>Unclassified<br>2b GROUP  |
| 3 REPORT TITLE<br><br>A STUDY OF THE OPERATING LIMITS OF THE STANNATE IMMERSION BATH   |   |  |
| 4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)<br>Final  |   |  |
| 5 AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)<br>WILLIAM H. DEAVER  |   |  |
| 6 REPORT DATE<br>May 1967  | 7a TOTAL NO OF PAGES  | 7b NO OF REFS<br>2   |
| 8a CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.<br>AMCMS Code No. 5025.11.29500<br>b. PROJECT NO.<br>IC024401A329<br>c.<br>d.   |   | 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)<br>CCL #230<br>9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) |
| 10 AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES<br><br>Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from Defense Documentation Center. Distribution of this document is unlimited.   |   |  |
| 11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES   | 12 SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY<br>U. S. Army Materiel Command<br>Washington, D. C. 20315 |  |
| 13 ABSTRACT<br><br>A study was conducted to determine the operating limits of the stannate immersion process for minimizing galvanic corrosion of magnesium-steel couples. Salt spray tests on specimens treated and then painted indicated that 100 sq. feet of work containing up to 22 percent steel could be safely processed per gallon of bath before substandard coatings were produced. Magnesium steel couples containing more than 22 percent steel would not receive a satisfactory stannate coating. |   |  |

DD FORM 1473  
1 JAN 64

Unclassified  
Security Classification

| 14<br>KEY WORDS  | LINK A |    | LINK B |    | LINK C |    |
|--|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|
|  | ROLE   | WT | ROLE   | WT | ROLE   | WT |
| stannate bath<br>galvanic corrosion<br>magnesium-steel couple<br>depleted bath |        |    |        |    |        |    |

**INSTRUCTIONS**

**1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

**2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

**2b. GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

**3. REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

**4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

**5. AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

**6. REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

**7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

**7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

**8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

**8b, & 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

**9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

**9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

**10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

**11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

**12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

**13. ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

**14. KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical content. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.